Case 1:07-cv-05082-AKH Document	1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
DANIEL SLEZAK AND CLAUDIA SLEZAK	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	tein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE (OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master Constant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in additional Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an '✓' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, DANIEL SLEZAK AND CLAUDI GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, com	A SLEZAK, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY plaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
I. PAR	TIES
A. PLAIN	TIFF(S)
a citizen of New York residing at 247 West 4th St., De (OF	2)
2. Alternatively, ☐ is t, and brings this claim in his (her) c	he of Decedent
, and ornigs this claim in his (liet) c	apacity as of the Estate of

Ca	se 1:07-cv-05082-AKH Document	1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11				
3. York residing Injured Plaint	at 247 West 4th St., Deer Park, NY 11 iff: SPOUSE at all relevant times by DANIEL SLEZAK, and bring	ter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 729-, and has the following relationship to the herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff is this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the ind (his wife), Plaintiff DANIEL SLEZAK.				
	□ Parent □ Child □ C	Other:				
4. Police Depart	In the period from 10/1/2001 to 10/1/2 ment (NYPD) as a Police Officer at:	001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for New York				
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations				
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about _10/1/2001_ until _10/1/2001_; Approximately _12_ hours per day; for Approximately _1_ days total. The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. The Fresh Kills Landfill From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total. ———————————————————————————————————				
				*Continue tl		per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
				5.	Injured Plaintiff	
					Was exposed to and breathed n above;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all				
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at				
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.					

6.

Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to §
Made a claim to the Victim Companyation Fund that was denied. Durguent to 8
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
☐ deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
York on	NEW YORK, INC.
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	\blacksquare EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	\square EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 Case 1:07-cv-05082-AKH Document 1 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers I Contested, by all jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to establish		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
		П	Other:

Case 1:07-cv-05082-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
	Respiratory Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 4/17/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: <u>Kidney and Bladder Problems</u> Date of onset: <u>4/17/2006</u> Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: <u>To be supplied at a later date</u>		V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged. 2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:				
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or			
	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or			
dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:			
dama ===== ☑	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering			
dama ===== ✓	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Daniel Slezak and Claudia Slezak

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
April 25, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docke	t No:
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
===	Daniel Slezak (and Wife, Claudia Slezak),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
===	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
===	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: NOTICE OF ENTRY
	that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	That an order of which the within is a true cop will be presented for settlement to the HON one of the
	judges of the within named Court, at on20 atM. Dated,
	Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP